	Case 1:21-cv-01378-DAD-BAM Docume	ent 8 Filed 11/08/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRANDON ALEXANDER FAVOR,	No. 1:21-cv-01378-DAD-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	BUCKLE, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED
15	Defendants.	IN FORMA PAUPERIS
16		(Doc. Nos. 2, 6)
17		
18	Plaintiff Brandon Alexander Favor is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
19	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On September 16, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
22	recommendations, recommending that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be	
23	denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and that plaintiff be required to pay the \$402.00 filing fee	
24	in full to proceed with this action. (Doc. No. 6.) Specifically, the findings and recommendations	
25	conclude that plaintiff has accrued three or more prior dismissals that count as strikes under 28	
26	U.S.C. § 1915(g) and that his current allegations do not satisfy the imminent danger exception to	
27	§ 1915(g). (<i>Id.</i> at 1–2.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and	
28	contained notice that objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service.	
		1

1 (Id. at 3.) Plaintiff timely filed objections to the findings and recommendations on October 5, 2 2021. (Doc. No. 7.) Those objections do not appear to address the conclusions in the findings 3 and recommendations. 4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 5 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including plaintiff's 6 objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and 7 proper analysis. 8 Accordingly, 9 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 16, 2021 (Doc. No. 6) are 10 adopted in full; 11 2. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied; 12 3. Within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall 13 pay the required filing fee of \$402.00 in full to proceed with this action; and 14 4. Failure to pay the filing fee within the allotted time will result in dismissal of this 15 action. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: November 6, 2021 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 1:21-cv-01378-DAD-BAM Document 8 Filed 11/08/21 Page 2 of 2